# Case3:14-cv-01155-LB Document1 Filed03/12/14 Page1 of 6

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	BENEDICT O'MAHONEY (Bar No.152447) TERRA LAW LLP 177 Park Avenue, Third Floor San Jose, California 95113 Telephone: 408-299-1200 Facsimile: 408-998-4895 Email: bomahoney@terralaw.com  JONATHAN T. SUDER (Pro Hac Vice To Be Filed) CORBY R. VOWELL (Pro Hac Vice To Be Filed) TODD I. BLUMENFELD (Pro Hac Vice To Be Filed) FRIEDMAN, SUDER & COOKE Tindall Square Warehouse No. 1 604 East 4th Street, Suite 200 Fort Worth, Texas 76102 Telephone: (817) 334-0400 Facsimile: (817) 334-0401 Email: jts@fsclaw.com Email: vowell@fsclaw.com Email: blumenfeld@fsclaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiff						
13	SOFTVAULT SYSTEMS, INC.						
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
15	NORTHERN DIS	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
16	SAN J	OSE DIVISION					
17	SOFTVAULT SYSTEMS, INC.   CASE NO						
18	Plaintiff,						
19	vs.	COMPLAINT FOR INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NOS. 6,249,868 AND					
20	BOXTONE, INC. and XEROX	6,594,765					
21	CORPORATION,	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED					
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
28							
_		1					
	COMPLAINT FOR INFRINGEMENT OF PATENT						

Plaintiff SOFTVAULT SYSTEMS, INC. files its Complaint against Defendants BOXTONE, INC. and XEROX CORPORATION, alleging as follows:

3

# 4

5 6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

#### THE PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff SOFTVAULT SYSTEMS, INC. ("SoftVault") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Washington with its principle place of business in the State of Washington.
- 2. Upon information and belief BOXTONE, INC. ("BOXTONE") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business in Columbia, MD. BOXTONE may be served with process through its registered agent Pauline A. Constantino at Suite 100, 8825 Stanford Boulevard, Columbia, MD.
- 3. Upon information and belief, XEROX CORPORATION ("XEROX") is a corporation organized and exiting under the laws of New York, with its principal place of business in Norwalk, CT. XEROX may be served with process through its registered agent Corporation Service Company at 2710 Gateway Oaks Drive, Suite 150N, Sacramento, CA.

## JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This is an action for infringement of United States patents. This Court has exclusive jurisdiction of such action under Title 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a).
- 5. Upon information and belief, BOXTONE and XEROX are subject to personal jurisdiction by this Court. BOXTONE and XEROX have committed such purposeful acts and/or transactions in the State of California that they reasonably knew and/or expected that they could be hailed into a California court as a future consequence of such activity. BOXTONE and XEROX make, use, and/or sell infringing products within the Northern District of California and have a continuing presence and the requisite minimum contacts with the Northern District of California, such that this venue is a fair and reasonable one. Upon information and belief. BOXTONE and XEROX have transacted and, at the time of the filing of this Complaint, are continuing to transact business within the Northern District of California. For all of these reasons, personal jurisdiction exists and venue is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)(1), (2) and (c)(2) and 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b).

3

5

7

10 11

12 13

15

16

14

17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

28

27

#### PATENTS-IN-SUIT

- 6. On June 19, 2001, United States Patent No. 6,249,868 BI ("the '868 Patent") was duly and legally issued for "METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR EMBEDDED, AUTOMATED, COMPONENT-LEVEL CONTROL OF COMPUTER SYSTEMS AND OTHER COMPLEX SYSTEMS." A true and correct copy of the '868 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A and made a part hereof.
- 7. On July 15, 2003, United States Patent No. 6,594,765 B2 ("the '765 Patent") was duly and legally issued for "METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR EMBEDDED, AUTOMATED, COMPONENT-LEVEL CONTROL OF COMPUTER SYSTEMS AND OTHER COMPLEX SYSTEMS." A true and correct copy of the '765 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit B and made a part hereof.
- 8. The '868 Patent and the '765 Patent are sometimes referred to herein collectively as "the Patents-in-Suit."
- 9. As it pertains to this lawsuit, the Patents-in-Suit, very generally speaking, relate to a method and system of protecting electronic, mechanical, and electromechanical devices and systems, such as for example a computer system, and their components and software from unauthorized use. Specifically, certain claims of the '868 and '765 Patents disclose the utilization of embedded agents within system components to allow for the enablement or disablement of the system component in which the agent is embedded. The invention disclosed in the Patents-in-Suit discloses a server that communicates with the embedded agent through the use of one or more handshake operations to authorize the embedded agent. When the embedded agent is authorized by the server, it enables the device or component, and when not authorized the embedded agent disables the device or component.

## FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

## (Patent Infringement)

10. SoftVault repeats and realleges every allegation set forth above.

2 3 4

1

- 5
- 9 10
- 11 12
- 13
- 14 15
- 16 17
- 18
- 19 20
- 21
- 22 23
- 24
- 25 26
- 28

- SoftVault is the owner of the Patents-in-Suit with the exclusive right to enforce 11. the Patents-in-Suit against infringers, and collect damages for all relevant times, including the right to prosecute this action.
- 12. Upon information and belief, BOXTONE is liable under 35 U.S.C. §271(a) for direct infringement of the Patents-in-Suit because it manufactures, makes, has made, uses, practices, imports, provides, supplies, distributes, sells, and/or offers for sale products and/or systems that practice one or more claims of the Patents-in-Suit.
- 13. More specifically, BOXTONE infringes the Patents-in-Suit because it makes, uses, sells, and offers for sale products and systems which prevent unauthorized use of a computer system through the ability to enable or disable the operation of a device's components through an authorization process performed by an embedded agent in the component device and a server. By way of example only, BOXTONE'S Mobile Device Management (MDM) system, at a minimum, in the past directly infringed and continues to directly infringe at least claims 1 and 44 of the '868 Patent, as well as at least claim 9 of the '765 Patent.
- 14. BOXTONE'S Mobile Device Management (MDM) system includes the capability to enable or disable a mobile device, such as a laptop or smart phone or other "mobile endpoints", to prevent misuse of the system by rogue devices and/or rogue servers. BOXTONE Mobile Device Management (MDM) system contains an agent with "deep hooks" into a mobile device and that "extends the set of native operating system controls" within the device in which it is installed and embedded and communicates a remote BOXTONE server. This communication includes a series of message exchanges, memorialized by "certificate authentication of both the device and the server", constituting a handshake operation between the BOXTONE server and the BOXTONE embedded agent of the Mobile Device Management (MDM) system. Through these exchanges the server and the embedded agent mutually authenticate one another, resulting in the authorization of a device in which the BOXTONE agent is embedded. When the agent is authorized by the server, the mobile device operates normally and when the agent is not authorized, the mobile device is remotely locked, wiped, and/or disabled.

1	15.	XEROX	K infringes	the pat	ents t	hroug	h use ar	ıd/or	sales	of tl	he Bo	xtone N	Mobile
Device	Manag	gement	(MDM) sy	/stem.	Ву	way o	of exam	ple o	only,	XER	OX tł	nerefore	, at a
minimui	m, in tl	he past d	lirectly infr	ringed ar	nd con	tinues	s to direc	tly iı	nfring	ge at le	east cl	aims 1 a	and 44
of the '8	68 Pat	tent, as v	vell as at le	east clair	n 9 of	the "	765 Pate	nt.	The al	llegati	ons o	f ¶¶ 13-	14 are
hereby s	specifi	cally inc	corporated	by refe	rence	with	respect	to X	KERO	X's i	nfring	ement	of the
Patents-i	in-Suit	t.											

- 16. BOXTONE and XEROX have had actual notice of the Patents-in-Suit at least as early as the filing of this Complaint.
- 17. SoftVault has been damaged as a result of BOXTONE and XEROX's infringing conduct. BOXTONE and XEROX are, thus, liable to SoftVault in an amount that adequately compensates SoftVault for their infringement, which, by law, cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

SoftVault requests that the Court find in its favor and against BOXTONE and XEROX, and that the Court grant SoftVault the following relief:

- Judgment that one or more claims of the Patents-in-Suit have been infringed,
   either literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by BOXTONE and
   XEROX;
- Judgment that BOXTONE and XEROX account for and pay to SoftVault all damages to and costs incurred by SoftVault because of their infringing activities and other conduct complained of herein;
- c. That BOXTONE and XEROX, its officers, agents, servants and employees, and those persons in active concert and participation with any of them, be permanently enjoined from infringement of the Patents-in-Suit. In the alternative, if the Court finds that an injunction is not warranted, SoftVault requests an award of post judgment royalty to compensate for future infringement;

# 

1	d. That SoftVault be granted pre-j	judgment and post-judgment interest on the				
2	damages caused to it by reason of	BOXTONE and XEROX's infringing activities				
3	and other conduct complained of h	erein;				
4	e. That this Court declare this an	exceptional case and award SoftVault its				
5	reasonable attorney's fees and cost	s in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 285; and				
6	f. That SoftVault be granted such oth	er and further relief as the Court may deem just				
7	and proper under the circumstances	S.				
8	JURY DE	<u>EMAND</u>				
9	Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil					
10	Procedure.					
11	DATED M. 1 10 0014					
12	DATED: March 12, 2014.	/s/ Benedict O'Mahoney				
13		Benedict O'Mahoney (Bar No.152447)				
14		TERRA LAW 177 Park Avenue, Third Floor San Loop Collifornia 05112				
15		San Jose, California 95113 Telephone: 408-299-1200 Facsimile: 408-998-4895				
16		Email: bomahoney@terralaw.com				
17		Attorney for Plaintiff				
18		SOFTVAULT SYSTEMS, INC.  Of Counsel:				
19		Jonathan T. Suder				
20		Corby R. Vowell				
21		Todd Blumenfeld FRIEDMAN, SUDER & COOKE Tindell Samer Words No. 1				
22		Tindall Square Warehouse No. 1 604 East 4 <sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 200				
23		Fort Worth, Texas 76102 Telephone: (817) 334-0400				
24		Facsimile: (817) 334-0401 Email: jts@fsclaw.com				
25		Email: blumenfeld@fsclaw.com Email: vowell@fsclaw.com				
26						
27						